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Recent studies show that there are more taxonomists describing species in recent decades than before. However, whether the rate of increase in number of taxonomists is greater than the rate of new species description has been questioned. We found a statistically significant decline in the proportion of species being described per number of taxonomists (i.e., authors of recent species descriptions) during the past century for (i) families of insects that had been stated not to show this trend and (ii) a sample of over 0.5 million marine, terrestrial, and freshwater species. We suggest that this decreased "catch" of species per taxonomic effort, despite scientists' greater ability to explore and sample habitats, means it is getting harder to discover new species and supports recent studies suggesting that two-thirds of all species have been named.

Some scientists consider that describing all remaining species is fruitless when so few have been described, there are insufficient taxonomists, and so many species are or soon will be extinct (Thomas 1997; Benton 2008). Such statements discourage taxonomy if further investment appears futile (Swaisgood and Sheppard 2010; Costello et al. 2013). However, recent analyses indicate that we may already have named one to twothirds of all species (Appeltans et al. 2012; Costello et al. 2012; De Clerck et al. 2013), that there have been high rates of discovery of new species in wellstudied geographic regions (Costello and Wilson 2011; Fontaine et al. 2012), and that there are more taxonomists describing species than ever before (e.g., Joppa et al. 2011a; Costello et al. 2012; Lohrmann et al. 2012; De Clerck et al. 2013; Tancoigne and Dubois 2013). However, whether an observed trend of a decreasing number of species being described per number of taxonomists (i.e., authors of recent species descriptions) is true has been more controversial (Bacher 2012). Does increased taxonomic effort explain the continued high rates of species discovery rather than the ease with which new species may be found? Is the trend of fewer species being discovered per taxonomist true? Here, we review
evidence that suggests there have never been so many people describing new species, which can be considered a minimal number of taxonomists. We then provide the first statistical tests of the long-term trends in the number of species described per taxonomist for the past 240 years and calculate the tipping-point year, when a trend for more species per taxonomist switched to one of fewer species per taxonomist.

## Taxonomists Have Never Been so Numerous and Productive

Contrary to widespread belief, there have never been so many taxonomists, and age profiles do not suggest most are near retirement in Europe, UK, and Canada (Costello et al. 2006; Lovejoy et al. 2010; Boxshall and Self 2011; Costello et al. 2013). The numbers of publications describing new species have increased in all geographic regions over the past three decades, and proportionally more in Asia and South America (Lohrmann et al. 2012; Costello et al. 2013). Studies indicate that there has been a 2.5 -fold increase in the proportion of animal taxonomists in South America and the Asia-Pacific region compared with Europe and North America from the 1980s (Gaston and May 1992) to the 2000s (Zhang 2010), reflecting the increasing number of scientists as their economies develop.

The increasing number of taxonomists is likely to contribute to a growth in taxonomic publications per year. Indeed, the number of taxonomic publications increased over 8-fold from 1969 to 1996 (Winston and Metzger 1998), although this may be a decreasing proportion of all the biological literature (Simon 1982). Despite the demise of some monograph series, the number of authoritative identification guides to marine species in Europe has been generally increasing since 1945 (Costello et al. 2006). On average for the past decade, the largest taxonomic journal (with over 1500 papers published in 2010) has been publishing an additional

3000 pages per year, including one monograph (i.e., a paper with $>60$ pages) per week since 2006 and increasing (Zhang 2011). The number of publications describing new species increased each decade from 1980 to 2010 in all continents but the proportion increased relatively more in Asia and Latin America than in North America (Lohrmann et al. 2012; Costello et al. 2013). That publication rates are increasing even faster than the increase in number of authors of taxonomic papers argues against any decrease in taxonomic productivity.

From 2000 to 2009, one study found over 8600 people described 30484 species (Costello et al. 2012), and another reported that a total of 166000 species were named (Wheeler and Pennak 2012). Although some of the 8600 authors will have described species not included in the 30484 , additional people may be involved whose names were not known due to their names being only listed as "et al." in the databases, and many people who are considered to be taxonomists may describe few to no species (e.g., when their taxa or study area are well described) (Costello et al. 2006; Lovejoy et al. 2010; Boxshall and Self 2011). This indicates that there are at least 47000 active taxonomists. A portion of these people may not call themselves taxonomists, but an additional number who may not have named a species in recent years may do so. Haas and Hauser (2000) estimated that there were $30000-40000$ taxonomists globally. However, perhaps the relative productivity of taxonomists has changed over time, with fewer specialists and more authors describing only a few species? Thus, we report here the trend in the proportion of authors who described only one species per decade for both the marine and nonmarine data sets used in Costello et al. (2012).

## Species Catch per Unit Effort

In addition to the increased taxonomic effort and number of publications describing species new to science, the modern efficiencies in access to remote locations, sampling, specimen preparation, use of traditional and molecular characters, photography and publication suggest increasing efficiency in taxonomic discovery (Eschmeyer et al. 2010). Evidently, taxonomy has never been so productive. Thus, recent reports of a decline in the number of species described per taxonomist were surprising (Joppa et al. 2011a; Costello et al. 2012; Bacher 2012; Tancoigne and Dubois 2013). Joppa et al. (2011a) questioned whether the decline they found in the number of species per taxonomist was representative of wider biodiversity. This prompted Bacher (2012) to plot the number of species per author for two families of parasitic wasps. He concluded that there was "no sign of a decline" in species per taxonomist since 1940, and Joppa et al. (2012) did not dispute his conclusion. However, we did find a decline for a data set of over 0.5 million marine, terrestrial, and freshwater species, and also suggested it reflected an increasing difficulty in finding new species (Costello et al. 2012). Samyn and De Clerck (2012)
misquoted this as confirmation of the decreasing number of species per author being peculiar to particular taxa. Tancoigne and Dubois (2013) found the same trend of decreasing species per author since 1978 for all animal species in the Index of Organism Names (Thomson Reuters 2009). However, these studies did not statistically test the trends.

## Methods

We used the data previously plotted in papers by Costello et al. (2012) for 141000 marine and 370000 terrestrial (including freshwater) species, and by Bacher (2012) for two families of insects, Chalcidoidea and Ichneumonoidea. These comprise the number of species being described in 5-year time periods, and the number of authors naming species in that period. Most species are described by one or two people, but there has been a trend of more than two authors describing a species since the 1980s (Joppa et al. 2011b; Costello et al. 2012). The Costello et al. (2012) data only contained the name of the first of such "et al." authors and thus may underestimate the total number of authors in a time period. These data are provided as the Supplementary Material in Dryad doi:10.5061/dryad.k5268. In addition, details of the regression results are archived there.

Fitting a standard linear regression to the entire data series would not be appropriate as such a model requires assumptions of homoscedasticity and independence in the residuals. In essence, the residuals from the model fit should appear random and have no discernible pattern. However, when the entire data set is considered, there is a discernible pattern where early and late residuals lie below the fitted curve, whereas middle residuals all tend to lie above the curve. We thus fitted a linear regression line to the data for every year since 1758 (Appendix A) and used Muggeo's $(2003,2008)$ method to detect when a break point occurred in the time series.

## Results

Here, we reject the hypothesis that time has not affected the number of species described per author (Fig. 1). The break points in the time series were 1838 and 1843 for Chalcidoidea and Ichneumonoidea, respectively, wasps; and from 1766 to 1911 for marine and nonmarine species. The trends were highly significant since the latter two dates for the marine and nonmarine data ( $P<0.0001, R^{2}=0.17$, and $R^{2}=0.87$, respectively), but not prior to that. Both the rising and falling regression lines (Fig. 1) were significant: (i) $P=0.037$ ( $R^{2}=0.026$ ) and $P=0.014\left(R^{2}=0.173\right)$, respectively, for Chalcidoidea, and (ii) $P=0.004$ ( $R^{2}=0.42$ ) and $P=0.001$ ( $R^{2}=0.28$ ) for Ichneumonoidea.
It may be argued that the above trend was because there had been an increase in part-time taxonomists, such as people who only describe one species. We found that the proportion of people who only described one species per decade since 1900 has ranged from $38 \%$ to


Figure 1. The number of species described per author each (upper) year for marine (dashed lines) and nonmarine (solid lines) species (data from Costello et al. 2012), and (lower) 5 -year periods for chalcidoid (solid lines) and ichneumonoid (dashed lines) parasitic wasps (data from Bacher 2012).
$44 \%$ for marine and $38 \%$ to $42 \%$ for nonmarine species (Fig. 2).

## DISCUSSION

We show significant long-term trends in fewer species being discovered per author across all marine taxa, many terrestrial and freshwater taxa, and two insect families. Joppa et al. (2011a) showed a similar trend for flowering plants, spiders, amphibians, birds, and mammals; and De Clerck et al. (2013) for algae, a polyphyletic group including seaweeds and microscopic species in land and aquatic environments that was not included in previous studies. All together these taxa cover over 0.5 million species which is about one-third of all named species (Costello et al. 2012, 2013). In addition, Tancoigne and Dubois (2013) found the same trend for a database of over 1.2 million animal species and subspecies (Thomson

Reuters 2009). It remains possible that some other taxa do not show these trends, and they may not apply within some geographic areas. However, such exceptions seem unlikely to alter the global patterns found in the studies reviewed here.

Our analysis found that the proportion of full- and part-time taxonomists had not shown any trend (nor changed $>6 \%$ ) in the past century (Fig. 2), and the total number of taxonomists has increased. Previously, we showed that there were no temporal trends in either the (i) skewness of the frequency distribution of species per author, (ii) proportion of authors who described only one, two, or more than two species, and (iii) duration of the "publication" lifetime of authors (Costello et al. 2012). We found that since the 1980 s, there has been an increase in authors per species named, what is termed the "et al." effect. However, this did not affect the overall trends (Costello et al. 2012). Similarly, Joppa et al. (2011b)


FIGURE 2. The proportion of authors who described only one species per decade in the marine (dashed line) and nonmarine (solid line) data sets over time.
found that the "et al." effect did not significantly affect the trend of more taxonomists over time for over 100000 flowering plants. Appeltans et al. (2012) found a similar proportion ( $42-44 \%$ ), of authors described only one marine species per decade during the past century using a more recent (2012) version of the WoRMS database. The lack of a temporal trend in the proportion of the most prolific authors, and duration of their publication periods, suggests that the proportion of full- versus part-time taxonomists has not changed.

Exploration of new locations and habitats has become easier since the 1950s with greater availability of road, rail, ship, and air travel internationally. New field methods, from canopy fogging and cranes to scuba and underwater submersibles, provide greater access to previously poorly sampled habitats. When combined with advances in specimen preparation, use of traditional and molecular characters, photography, and publication, these factors suggest increasing efficiency in taxonomic discovery. On the other hand, more exacting publication standards, the increasing number of publications and specimens that need to be studied by authors of taxonomic papers, or other factors, may partly offset the modern efficiencies in taxonomy. In addition, perhaps the remaining species are more timeconsuming to describe than the earlier ones. This may not be the case within a taxon, but it does appear that the larger and more conspicuous taxa such as vertebrates are better known than small invertebrates (Costello et al. 1996, 2012; Costello and Wilson 2011). We are not aware of any quantitative data that may measure trends in the productivity or efficiency of individual taxonomists. However, we suggest that overall efficiencies have been improving. In addition, the data show an increasing number of active taxonomists and publications describing new species, who are now distributed more globally than before the 1950 s and
continue to increase in number in Asia. We are thus led to the conclusion that it is taking more effort to discover new species (Joppa et al. 2011; Costello et al. 2012).

The present discovery rate of $\sim 17000$ species per year (Wheeler and Pennak 2012) is being maintained by an increased ability to sample less explored places, taxonomic efficiencies, and the increasing number of taxonomists. That this golden age of taxonomy has been accompanied by a decline in the number of species described per taxonomist suggested that a significant portion, probably over half, of all species on Earth, have already been described. The break or tipping point in species discovered per taxonomic effort may have been in the 19th or early 20 th centuries. This break point in the discovery trend would be likely to change for different groups of species, whether classified taxonomically, geographically, or ecologically. The trend prior to the 1766 break point for marine species was of such short duration (and not significant) that it may be due to variable effort in the early years of discovery rather than any change in the trend of species discovery. The smaller sample size and contrasting kinds of marine species may explain the absence of a break in the trend for this group. However, the long-term trend for nonmarine species was almost a straight line until 1911 when it began to significantly decline.

Accounting for as yet unrecognized synonyms, 1.5 million species may be described (Costello et al. 2012). Thus, estimates of there being 2-3 million species on Earth (Costello et al. 2012, 2013) may be more realistic that those exceeding 5 million. Recent expert analyses of the potential global species richness of macro- and microalgae (Guiry 2012; De Clerck et al. 2013) and anemones (Fautin et al. 2013) support those on fish (Eschmeyer et al. 2010) and 0.5 million marine and nonmarine taxa (Costello et al. 2012) that over two-thirds of species have already been named.

Nevertheless, at least hundreds of thousands of species remain to be discovered, and they will be increasingly difficult to find because many will be geographically rare and may not be abundant. However, continual refocusing of the taxonomic effort to the understudied places and taxa may enable the current rate of 17000 species described per year (Wheeler and Pennak 2012) to continue if not increase, especially in Asia and the southern hemisphere where most undiscovered species occur (Costello et al. 2010, 2012, 2013). The greater rarity value of new species, and increased involvement of citizen scientists (Pearson et al. 2011), may help maintain the discovery effort (Wheeler et al. 2012; Costello et al. 2013). Because of their localized distribution, these species are especially sensitive to extinction due to habitat loss (Stork 2010), and their recognition is a key step in their conservation (Costello et al. 2013). It seems evident that descriptive taxonomy, discovering what lives on Earth, has been more successful than appreciated, and most species will be discovered in this century. Indeed, Costello et al. (2013) argue that most species will be discovered before they go extinct.

Instead of a decline in taxonomy, the field has never been stronger. There are tens of thousands of people doing taxonomy, both professionals and significant numbers of citizen scientists. Almost half of all recent animal species described in Europe were by amateur taxonomists (Fontaine et al. 2012). People are exploring species and habitats in the most remote places on Earth. The past decade saw more marine species discovered than any previous decade (Appeltans et al. 2012). The popular media regularly report stories of exciting new species, and society expects science to discover life
on Earth as well as on other planets. There are more tools to discriminate and describe species, and online access is opening up taxonomic knowledge to a greater proportion of society than ever before. However, only two-thirds of species may be known (Costello et al. 2012), and significant numbers are yet to be described from specimen collections in museums and research institutes (Appeltans et al. 2012; Costello et al. 2013). Thus, considering the threats to species and their habitat, increased effort is required to discover species, a fundamental first step in understanding and conserving life on Earth.

## Supplementary Material

The data used in this article can be found in the Dryad data repository at http://datadryad.org/ (doi:10.5061/dryad.k5268).
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## Appendix A

Table A1. The data plotted in Figure A1 including the slope of the regression

| Start year | Chalcidoidea |  |  | Ichneumonoidea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fitted slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ | Fitted slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ |
| 1758 | 0.0071 | 0.7494 | 0.0021 | 0.0036 | 0.8872 | 0.0004 |
| 1763 | 0.0104 | 0.6548 | 0.0043 | 0.0035 | 0.8962 | 0.0004 |
| 1768 | 0.0057 | 0.8131 | 0.0012 | -0.0050 | 0.8556 | 0.0007 |
| 1773 | 0.0002 | 0.9951 | 0.0000 | -0.0163 | 0.5553 | 0.0078 |
| 1778 | -0.0055 | 0.8292 | 0.0011 | -0.0241 | 0.3977 | 0.0163 |
| 1783 | -0.0117 | 0.6600 | 0.0045 | -0.0352 | 0.2273 | 0.0337 |
| 1788 | -0.0163 | 0.5571 | 0.0083 | -0.0460 | 0.1250 | 0.0551 |
| 1793 | -0.0239 | 0.4067 | 0.0169 | -0.0489 | 0.1191 | 0.0582 |
| 1798 | -0.0308 | 0.3044 | 0.0263 | -0.0571 | 0.0804 | 0.0745 |
| 1803 | -0.0408 | 0.1889 | 0.0438 | -0.0711 | 0.0338 | 0.1104 |
| 1808 | -0.0508 | 0.1149 | 0.0641 | -0.0822 | 0.0183 | 0.1379 |
| 1813 | -0.0647 | 0.0507 | 0.0993 | -0.0934 | 0.0100 | 0.1661 |
| 1818 | -0.0807 | 0.0173 | 0.1475 | -0.1110 | 0.0028 | 0.2217 |
| 1823 | -0.0675 | 0.0493 | 0.1059 | -0.1254 | 0.0012 | 0.2628 |
| 1828 | -0.0838 | 0.0178 | 0.1542 | -0.1444 | 0.0003 | 0.3250 |
| 1833 | -0.1004 | 0.0059 | 0.2077 | -0.1156 | 0.0015 | 0.2659 |
| 1838 | -0.0930 | 0.0144 | 0.1730 | -0.1208 | 0.0017 | 0.2675 |
| 1843 | -0.0545 | 0.0790 | 0.0962 | -0.1302 | 0.0014 | 0.2842 |
| 1848 | -0.0438 | 0.1714 | 0.0614 | -0.0844 | 0.0048 | 0.2358 |

(continued)

Table A1. Continued

| Start year | Chalcidoidea |  |  | Ichneumonoidea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fitted slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ | Fitted slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ |
| 1853 | -0.0419 | 0.2185 | 0.0517 | -0.0938 | 0.0031 | 0.2645 |
| 1858 | -0.0601 | 0.0865 | 0.1013 | -0.1148 | 0.0003 | 0.3716 |
| 1863 | -0.0597 | 0.1110 | 0.0913 | -0.1310 | 0.0001 | 0.4387 |
| 1868 | -0.0746 | 0.0593 | 0.1301 | -0.1464 | 0.0000 | 0.4931 |
| 1873 | -0.0890 | 0.0342 | 0.1672 | -0.1408 | 0.0001 | 0.4497 |
| 1878 | -0.0930 | 0.0401 | 0.1640 | -0.1375 | 0.0004 | 0.4110 |
| 1883 | -0.1115 | 0.0209 | 0.2109 | -0.1622 | 0.0001 | 0.5129 |
| 1888 | -0.1141 | 0.0290 | 0.1987 | -0.1502 | 0.0003 | 0.4553 |
| 1893 | -0.1462 | 0.0074 | 0.2947 | -0.1681 | 0.0002 | 0.5021 |
| 1898 | -0.1434 | 0.0152 | 0.2605 | -0.1717 | 0.0003 | 0.4803 |
| 1903 | -0.1737 | 0.0061 | 0.3340 | -0.1459 | 0.0020 | 0.4026 |
| 1908 | -0.1893 | 0.0064 | 0.3455 | -0.1047 | 0.0104 | 0.3124 |
| 1913 | -0.1991 | 0.0094 | 0.3355 | -0.0881 | 0.0385 | 0.2284 |
| 1918 | -0.0883 | 0.0034 | 0.4244 | -0.0696 | 0.1189 | 0.1450 |
| 1923 | -0.0783 | 0.0141 | 0.3395 | -0.0558 | 0.2507 | 0.0869 |
| 1928 | -0.0700 | 0.0433 | 0.2607 | -0.0666 | 0.2251 | 0.1032 |
| 1933 | -0.0642 | 0.0963 | 0.1982 | -0.0743 | 0.2363 | 0.1060 |
| 1938 | -0.0737 | 0.0968 | 0.2128 | -0.0804 | 0.2663 | 0.1017 |
| 1943 | -0.1032 | 0.0366 | 0.3398 | -0.1340 | 0.0896 | 0.2395 |
| 1948 | -0.1482 | 0.0048 | 0.5649 | -0.1739 | 0.0565 | 0.3174 |
| 1953 | -0.1225 | 0.0262 | 0.4397 | -0.1716 | 0.1104 | 0.2583 |
| 1958 | -0.1009 | 0.1005 | 0.3011 | -0.3003 | 0.0043 | 0.6602 |
| 1963 | -0.1451 | 0.0468 | 0.4534 | -0.3719 | 0.0024 | 0.7543 |
| 1968 | -0.1523 | 0.0971 | 0.3915 | -0.3917 | 0.0088 | 0.7087 |
| 1973 | -0.1581 | 0.1887 | 0.3164 | -0.3214 | 0.0516 | 0.5643 |
| 1978 | -0.2032 | 0.2265 | 0.3376 | -0.2528 | 0.2104 | 0.3571 |
| 1983 | -0.2708 | 0.2858 | 0.3587 | -0.2038 | 0.4833 | 0.1750 |
| 1988 | -0.5582 | 0.1267 | 0.7626 | -0.3163 | 0.5367 | 0.2147 |
| 1993 | -0.9393 | 0.0135 | 0.9996 | -0.2987 | 0.8073 | 0.0889 |

Table A2. The data plotted in Figure A2 including the slope of the regression

| Start year | Nonmarine species from catalogue of life |  |  | Marine species from world register of marine species |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ | Slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ |
| 1764 | -0.02553 | 0.00000 | 0.11375 | -0.01914 | 0.00000 | 0.15765 |
| 1769 | -0.02609 | 0.00000 | 0.11765 | -0.01539 | 0.00000 | 0.22443 |
| 1774 | -0.02853 | 0.00000 | 0.13539 | -0.01722 | 0.00000 | 0.26664 |
| 1779 | -0.02614 | 0.00000 | 0.13150 | -0.01591 | 0.00000 | 0.24128 |
| 1784 | -0.02319 | 0.00000 | 0.10660 | -0.01684 | 0.00000 | 0.26429 |
| 1789 | -0.02460 | 0.00000 | 0.11356 | -0.01806 | 0.00000 | 0.28628 |
| 1794 | -0.02318 | 0.00000 | 0.10717 | -0.01569 | 0.00000 | 0.28364 |
| 1799 | -0.02471 | 0.00000 | 0.11592 | -0.01619 | 0.00000 | 0.28939 |
| 1804 | -0.02818 | 0.00000 | 0.14107 | -0.01531 | 0.00000 | 0.29884 |
| 1809 | -0.03333 | 0.00000 | 0.18629 | -0.01686 | 0.00000 | 0.34767 |
| 1814 | -0.03686 | 0.00000 | 0.21339 | -0.01862 | 0.00000 | 0.40720 |
| 1819 | -0.04145 | 0.00000 | 0.25612 | -0.01538 | 0.00000 | 0.44098 |
| 1824 | -0.04711 | 0.00000 | 0.31025 | -0.01657 | 0.00000 | 0.51041 |
| 1829 | -0.05421 | 0.00000 | 0.38168 | -0.01767 | 0.00000 | 0.56629 |
| 1834 | -0.06176 | 0.00000 | 0.45846 | -0.01862 | 0.00000 | 0.58697 |
| 1839 | -0.06959 | 0.00000 | 0.53567 | -0.02019 | 0.00000 | 0.63322 |
| 1844 | -0.07729 | 0.00000 | 0.60824 | -0.02128 | 0.00000 | 0.65108 |
| 1849 | -0.08538 | 0.00000 | 0.67722 | -0.02216 | 0.00000 | 0.66657 |
| 1854 | -0.09294 | 0.00000 | 0.75584 | -0.02304 | 0.00000 | 0.68510 |
| 1859 | -0.09239 | 0.00000 | 0.74275 | -0.02429 | 0.00000 | 0.70168 |
| 1864 | -0.09374 | 0.00000 | 0.74359 | -0.02579 | 0.00000 | 0.72265 |
| 1869 | -0.09358 | 0.00000 | 0.73896 | -0.02583 | 0.00000 | 0.70602 |
| 1874 | -0.10088 | 0.00000 | 0.77975 | -0.02671 | 0.00000 | 0.71036 |
| 1879 | -0.10315 | 0.00000 | 0.78126 | -0.02861 | 0.00000 | 0.74734 |
| 1884 | -0.11128 | 0.00000 | 0.81670 | -0.02818 | 0.00000 | 0.73614 |
| 1889 | -0.12155 | 0.00000 | 0.86751 | -0.02744 | 0.00000 | 0.70723 |
| 1894 | -0.12777 | 0.00000 | 0.88163 | -0.02907 | 0.00000 | 0.76190 |

Table A2. Continued

| Start year | Nonmarine species from catalogue of life |  |  | Marine species from world register of marine species |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ | Slope | $P$-value | $R^{2}$ |
| 1899 | -0.13121 | 0.00000 | 0.88308 | -0.03090 | 0.00000 | 0.78153 |
| 1904 | -0.13413 | 0.00000 | 0.87866 | -0.03308 | 0.00000 | 0.80139 |
| 1909 | -0.13040 | 0.00000 | 0.87152 | -0.03051 | 0.00000 | 0.79354 |
| 1914 | -0.12091 | 0.00000 | 0.86901 | -0.02833 | 0.00000 | 0.77815 |
| 1919 | -0.10645 | 0.00000 | 0.93188 | -0.02880 | 0.00000 | 0.77409 |
| 1924 | -0.10170 | 0.00000 | 0.93665 | -0.02759 | 0.00000 | 0.73335 |
| 1929 | -0.09735 | 0.00000 | 0.94399 | -0.02662 | 0.00000 | 0.70587 |
| 1934 | -0.09476 | 0.00000 | 0.93711 | -0.02589 | 0.00000 | 0.65633 |
| 1939 | -0.09394 | 0.00000 | 0.93583 | -0.02767 | 0.00000 | 0.66885 |
| 1944 | -0.09023 | 0.00000 | 0.94313 | -0.03197 | 0.00000 | 0.72491 |
| 1949 | -0.09259 | 0.00000 | 0.93423 | -0.02996 | 0.00000 | 0.74564 |
| 1954 | -0.09174 | 0.00000 | 0.91737 | -0.03140 | 0.00000 | 0.72630 |
| 1959 | -0.08702 | 0.00000 | 0.91874 | -0.03168 | 0.00000 | 0.72746 |
| 1964 | -0.08005 | 0.00000 | 0.90369 | -0.02497 | 0.00000 | 0.65420 |
| 1969 | -0.07656 | 0.00000 | 0.86761 | -0.02796 | 0.00000 | 0.65959 |
| 1974 | -0.06844 | 0.00000 | 0.82661 | -0.02516 | 0.00000 | 0.58436 |
| 1979 | -0.06402 | 0.00000 | 0.74438 | -0.02581 | 0.00013 | 0.52789 |
| 1984 | -0.08120 | 0.00001 | 0.74112 | -0.03287 | 0.00097 | 0.52707 |
| 1989 | -0.10374 | 0.00006 | 0.81260 | -0.03545 | 0.05313 | 0.32461 |
| 1994 | -0.10329 | 0.00653 | 0.80047 | -0.06615 | 0.03336 | 0.62890 |



Figure A1. The effect of starting the regression in terms of $R^{2}$ (dashed line) and $P$-value (solid line) from that year onwards, between number of species and taxonomists in 5-year periods for the chalcidoid (upper) and ichneumonoid (lower) wasps.


Figure A2. The effect of starting the regression in terms of $R^{2}$ (dashed line) and $P$-value (solid line) from that year onwards, between number of species and taxonomists in 5-year periods for the nonmarine (catalogue of life) (upper) and marine (world register of marine species) (lower) wasps.
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